

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/688,624	10/17/2003	David A. Young	BOE 0432 PA	2590
	27256 7	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
	ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH RD. SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			DINH, TIEN QUANG	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme	10/688,624	YOUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Tien Dinh	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> .						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)  Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,7-9,11,14,15,17-24,27-32,34 and 37-41 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 3,5,6,10,12,13,16,25,26,33,35 and 36 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in the control of	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					



Application/Control Number: 10/688,624

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, 8, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris.

Norris discloses an aircraft having an architectural archway, an upper crown portion, a floor member, and a lower lobe portion.

Re claim 7, please note that since Norris discloses an archway that runs through the fuselage, it is inherent that the archway frames a door member.

Claims 2, 4, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17-24, 27-30, 32, 34, 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris in view of Robillard et al.

Norris discloses all claimed parts including the archway being hollow but is silent on the system components that run through the hollow archway so that instruments from the lower portion and upper crown portion can communicate with each others. However, Norris is silent on the system components running through his hollow archway. Robillard et al teaches that system components that run thru a hollow passageway of an aircraft is well known in the art (see figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,624

Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have ran system components through Norris' hollow archways as taught by Robillard et al to accommodate the passengers. Please note that the use of support system components in the upper and lower lobe portions are well known in this day and age. Plus it is obvious to one skilled in the arts to use support components in the upper and lower portion to accommodate the passengers.

Please note that to make a passageway integral merely involves a routine step that one skilled in the art would have taken.

Re claims 19 and 20, please note that since Norris discloses an archway that runs through the fuselage, it is inherent that the archway frames a door member. The door member would be between a pair of archway members.

Re claims 22-23, please note that the archway can be used as a divider since a person skilled in the art would put a marker in the archway to designate one end as the front and the other end as the back. Thus an archway that runs through the fuselage would divide the portions of the aircraft into different portions.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16, 25, 26, 33, 35, and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Frische and Wadey et al teach archways.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

